

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

—
No. 6:20-cv-00176

R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company et al.,
Plaintiffs,

v.

United States Food and Drug Administration et al.,
Defendants.

—

ORDER

For the reasons stated in the court's order (Doc. 33) postponing the effective date of the challenged rule¹ and the further equitable reasons given in plaintiffs' motion for an extension of that relief, plaintiffs' motion (Doc. 86) is **granted**. The court **orders** that the effective date of the rule is postponed for an additional 90 days, until April 14, 2022. Any obligation to comply with the Tobacco Control Act's warning requirements, 5 U.S.C. § 1333(a)(1) and (b)(1), and the additional requirements in 21 U.S.C. §§ 387c(a)(2) and 387t(a), is also postponed for an additional 90 days, as is any other obligation to comply with a deadline tied to the effective date of the rule.

So ordered by the court on March 2, 2021.


—
J. CAMPBELL BARKER
United States District Judge

¹ Tobacco Products; Required Warnings for Cigarette Packages and Advertisements, 85 Fed. Reg. 15,638 (Mar. 18, 2020).